

**Arizona Supreme Court**  
**Criminal Petition for Review - Appeal**

**CR-24-0113-PR**

**STATE OF ARIZONA v SALVADOR BARRON**

**Appellate Case Information**

Case Filed: 29-Apr-2024  
 Case Closed:

**Dept/Composition**

**Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Appellee**  
 (Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

- State of Arizona

**Attorneys for: Appellee**

Alice Jones, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 28062)  
 Kristin K Mayes, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 22584)  
 Tanja K Kelly, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 25205)

**Side 2. SALVADOR BARRON, Appellant**  
 (Litigant Group) SALVADOR BARRON

- Salvador Barron

PRO SE

**CASE STATUS**

Apr 29, 2024....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
2 CA 2 CA-CR 23-0063					
🔗 PIN S1100CR202100081			Daniel A Washburn, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		

**8 PROCEEDING ENTRIES**

- 29-Apr-2024 FILED: Motion for Additional Time to File Petition for Review (Appellant Barron, Pro Se)
- 30-Apr-2024 Appellant Barron filed a "Motion for Additional Time to File Petition for Review" on April 29, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e) and Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion, and the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore,  
  
IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Appellant's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e) and ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by May 15, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)
- 3-May-2024 FILED: Procedural Motion to Extend Deadline to File Petition for Review (Appellant Barron, Pro Se)
- 6-May-2024 Appellant Barron filed a non-compliant "Procedural Motion to Extend Deadline to File Petition for Review" on May 3, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e) and Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion. Nonetheless,  
  
IT IS ORDERED granting an extension of time to file the petition for review on or before June 5, 2024. No further extensions of time shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. This matter is subject to dismissal if the petition for review is not filed by June 5, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)
- 31-May-2024 FILED: Motion Requesting Appointment of Counsel (Appellant Barron, Pro Se)

**Arizona Supreme Court**  
**Criminal Petition for Review - Appeal**

**CR-24-0113-PR**

**STATE OF ARIZONA v SALVADOR BARRON**

**8 PROCEEDING ENTRIES**

6. 6-Jun-2024 On May 31, 2024, Appellant Barron filed a "Motion Requesting Appointment of Counsel." After consideration,
- IT IS ORDERED denying Appellant's motion for appointment of counsel. Appellant has no right to appointed counsel in a discretionary Rule 32 Proceeding. See *Ross v. Moffitt*, 417 U.S. 600, 614-615 (1974) (holding that there is no right to appointed counsel for discretionary review in the state supreme court following a direct appeal taken of right). "When the court of appeals' decision has been rendered, the attorney should advise the defendant about his legal rights but the attorney has no obligation to seek further relief through the appellate process," although "[t]he defendant, of course, may petition for review pro per." See *State v. Shattuck*, 140 Ariz. 582, 585 (1984).
- IT IS FURTHER ORDERED extending the time to file the petition for review to and including July 9, 2024. Appellant is counseled to promptly request any necessary records from appellate counsel. See Ariz. R. Sup.Ct. 42, Ethical Rule 1.15(d) ("Upon the client's request, the lawyer shall provide the client with all of the client's documents and all documents reflecting work performed for the client.") No further extensions of time shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstance. This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant petition for review is not filed by July 9, 2024. (Hon James P Beene)
7. 11-Jul-2024 FILED: Petition for Review by Supreme Court (Appellant Barron, Pro Se)
8. 11-Jul-2024 FILED: Record from CofA: Link to Electronic Record